

FRST242 IRM Plan

Student: Taylor Martin

Scenario: Biomass - Energy

CATEGORY	4	3	2	1
% Range:	100 - 85 (A+/A)	84 - 72 (A-/B)	71 - 55 (B-/C-)	< 55 (D/F)
<b>Content</b> Timber or Biomass Objectives, Strategies, Practices	Complete, clear and concise presentation; development plan, harvesting, roads	Mostly; some improvement needed	Could be improved significantly <i>Need more detail on plan for extraction &amp; recovery</i>	Not adequate
	15 14 13	12.5 12 11	10.5 9 8.5	8 7 6
<b>Content</b> Silviculture Objectives, Strategies, Practices	Complete, clear and concise presentation; Silvi. Systems, reforestation, tending, health	Mostly; some improvement needed <i>More details needed</i>	Could be improved significantly	Not adequate
	15 14 13	12.5 12 11	10.5 9 8.5	8 7 6
<b>Content</b> Other Resource Values Objectives, Strategies, Practices	Complete, clear and concise presentation; biodiversity, FNs, Visual, Recr., NTFP, Wildlife, Streams	Mostly; some improvement needed	Could be improved significantly <i>Some cursory coverage of values, issues + mgt.</i>	Not adequate
	20 19 18	17 16 15	14 13 12	11 10 9
<b>Content</b> Introduction, Goals, Inventory	Intro: project scope, area and scenario description, mgt goals, inventory summary	Mostly; some improvement needed	Could be improved significantly <i>No Summary, conclusions</i>	Not adequate
	2.0 1.9 1.8	1.7 1.6 1.5	1.4 1.3 1.2	1.1 1.0 0.9
<b>Format</b> Organization	Information is very organized; headings and sub-headings	Mostly; some improvement needed <i>Better title page, org. of silvic. section</i>	Could be improved significantly	Not adequate
	2.0 1.9 1.8	1.7 1.6 1.5	1.4 1.3 1.2	1.1 1.0 0.9
<b>Format</b> Graphics	Graphs, tables, illustr. are neat, accurate and add to understanding	Mostly; some improvement needed	Could be improved significantly	Not adequate
	2.0 1.9 1.8	1.7 1.6 1.5	1.4 1.3 1.2	1.1 1.0 0.9
<b>English</b> Structure and Mechanics	Well constructed sentences/paragraphs; little or no errors in grammar, spelling and punctuation	Mostly; some improvement needed <i>Some punctuation, spelling errors</i>	Could be improved significantly	Not adequate
	2.0 1.9 1.8	1.7 1.6 1.5	1.4 1.3 1.2	1.1 1.0 0.9
<b>References</b>	All sources doc. in proper format, in text & lit. cited	Mostly; some improvement needed <i>Inadequate # of refs, not properly cited.</i>	Could be improved significantly	Not adequate
	2.0 1.9 1.8	1.7 1.6 1.5	1.4 1.3 1.2	1.1 1.0 0.9
<b>Points</b>				

TOTAL Score 43.3 / 60

72% B

\*Note: total points for Timber and Non-Timber are reversed for the Timber (A) and Biomass for Energy (E) Scenarios