

FRST242 IRM Plan

Student: Cody Jackman

Scenario: Biodiversity

CATEGORY	4	3	2	1
% Range:	100 - 85 (A+/A)	84 - 72 (A-/B)	71 - 55 (B-/C-)	< 55 (D/F)
Content Timber or Biomass Objectives, Strategies, Practices	Complete, clear and concise presentation; development plan, harvesting, roads	Mostly; some improvement needed <i>• Good AAC adjustment / determination</i> <i>• Well described</i>	Could be improved significantly	Not adequate
	15 14 13	12.5 12 11	10.5 9 8.5	8 7 6
Content Silviculture Objectives, Strategies, Practices	Complete, clear and concise presentation; Silvi. Systems, reforestation, tending, health	Mostly; some improvement needed <i>Some confusion in the use of silvi. syst. terms (thin ≠ SS, VR...)</i>	Could be improved significantly	Not adequate
	15 14 13	12.5 12 11	10.5 9 8.5	8 7 6
Content Other Resource Values Objectives, Strategies, Practices	Complete, clear and concise presentation; biodiversity, FNs, Visual, Recr., NTFP, Wildlife, Streams	Mostly; some improvement needed <i>Good strategy & description of the bio diversity focus.</i>	Could be improved significantly	Not adequate
	20 19 18	17 16 15	14 13 12	11 10 9
Content Introduction, Goals, Inventory	Intro: project scope, area and scenario description, mgt goals, inventory summary	Mostly; some improvement needed	Could be improved significantly	Not adequate
	2.0 1.9 1.8	1.7 1.6 1.5	1.4 1.3 1.2	1.1 1.0 0.9
Format Organization	Information is very organized; headings and sub-headings	Mostly; some improvement needed	Could be improved significantly	Not adequate
	2.0 1.9 1.8	1.7 1.6 1.5	1.4 1.3 1.2	1.1 1.0 0.9
Format Graphics	Graphs, tables, illustr. are neat, accurate and add to understanding	Mostly; some improvement needed	Could be improved significantly	Not adequate
	2.0 1.9 1.8	1.7 1.6 1.5	1.4 1.3 1.2	1.1 1.0 0.9
English Structure and Mechanics	Well constructed sentences/paragraphs; little or no errors in grammar, spelling and punctuation	Mostly; some improvement needed <i>Well written</i>	Could be improved significantly	Not adequate
	2.0 1.9 1.8	1.7 1.6 1.5	1.4 1.3 1.2	1.1 1.0 0.9
References	All sources doc. in proper format, in text & lit. cited	Mostly; some improvement needed <i>Excellent reference list</i>	Could be improved significantly	Not adequate
	2.0 1.9 1.8	1.7 1.6 1.5	1.4 1.3 1.2	1.1 1.0 0.9
Points				

TOTAL Score 55.6 / 60

*Note: total points for Timber and Non-Timber are reversed for the Timber (A) and Biomass for Energy (E) Scenarios

A+ 93%

Well done!