

FRST242 IRM Plan

Student: Matthew Boeckmann

Scenario: Recreation/Visual

CATEGORY	4	3	2	1
% Range:	100 - 85 (A+/A)	84 - 72 (A-/B)	71 - 55 (B-/C-)	< 55 (D/F)
Content Timber or Biomass Objectives, Strategies, Practices	Complete, clear and concise presentation; development plan, harvesting, roads	Mostly; some improvement needed <i>Some issues</i>	Could be improved significantly <i>with AAC + level of retention</i>	Not adequate
	15 (14) 13	12.5 12 11	10.5 9 8.5	8 7 6
Content Silviculture Objectives, Strategies, Practices	Complete, clear and concise presentation; Silvi. Systems, reforestation, tending, health	Mostly; some improvement needed <i>Very detailed and clear objectives and plans</i>	Could be improved significantly	Not adequate
	(15) 14 13	12.5 12 11	10.5 9 8.5	8 7 6
Content Other Resource Values Objectives, Strategies, Practices	Complete, clear and concise presentation; biodiversity, FNs, Visual, Recr., NTFP, Wildlife, Streams	Mostly; some improvement needed <i>Comprehensive</i>	Could be improved significantly	Not adequate
	20 (19) 18	17 16 15	14 13 12	11 10 9
Content Introduction, Goals, Inventory	Intro: project scope, area and scenario description, mgt goals, inventory summary	Mostly; some improvement needed <i>Could put some detail in Appendices</i>	Could be improved significantly	Not adequate
	2.0 1.9 (1.8)	1.7 1.6 1.5	1.4 1.3 1.2	1.1 1.0 0.9
Format Organization	Information is very organized; headings and sub-headings	Mostly; some improvement needed <i>Headings/subheadings inconsistent</i>	Could be improved significantly	Not adequate
	2.0 1.9 1.8	(1.7) 1.6 1.5	1.4 1.3 1.2	1.1 1.0 0.9
Format Graphics	Graphs, tables, illustr. are neat, accurate and add to understanding	Mostly; some improvement needed <i>Good mapping, a few labelling issues</i>	Could be improved significantly	Not adequate
	2.0 (1.9) 1.8	1.7 1.6 1.5	1.4 1.3 1.2	1.1 1.0 0.9
English Structure and Mechanics	Well constructed sentences/paragraphs; little or no errors in grammar, spelling and punctuation ✓	Mostly; some improvement needed	Could be improved significantly	Not adequate
	(2.0) 1.9 1.8	1.7 1.6 1.5	1.4 1.3 1.2	1.1 1.0 0.9
References	All sources doc. in proper format, in text & lit.cited	Mostly; some improvement needed	Could be improved significantly	Not adequate
	2.0 1.9 (1.8)	1.7 1.6 1.5	1.4 1.3 1.2	1.1 1.0 0.9
Points				

TOTAL Score 57.2 / 60 *95%*
A+

*Note: total points for Timber and Non-Timber are reversed for the Timber (A) and Biomass for Energy (E) Scenarios